

TRIALS WITH FEATURES OF THE ORATORY

ACROSS TRIAL FOR HER LIFE. HAS FAMILY WOES. RECORDER GOFF SCORES ATTORNEYS. OPENING SPEECH FOR THE DEFENSE.

State's First Witness Testifies Against Julia Morrison at Chattanooga.

ACCUSED'S DASHING ATTIRE. THEY SAY HE MARRIED THEM.

Appears in Court Like the Widow in "A Trip to Chinatown."

REPUBLIC SPECIAL.

Chattanooga, Tenn., Jan. 4.—The case of Julia Morrison, accused, charged with murder of her husband, John Morrison, was called this morning at 11 o'clock in the Circuit Court in this city. Judge Floyd E. Hill presiding. Miss Morrison was brought into the room by Sheriff Bush and answered questions addressed to her in a rather excited manner. She seemed very nervous and ill at ease.

George J. Antz, brother-in-law of Leidenheimer, arrived from New Orleans this morning accompanied by his wife, and they were both in the courtroom.

When the judge asked Miss Morrison "Are you ready for trial?" her attorneys answered "Yes" promptly, and there was an audible murmur of satisfaction among the spectators, the rumor having gained currency during the morning that the defense would ask for a continuance. The State also announced ready, and Miss Morrison was remained to jail until the afternoon session.

Prisoner's Dashing Attire.

The court was called to order at 2 o'clock. In the afternoon and again this morning came into the courtroom and took the chair beside her counsel, this time in a more confident manner. She was smiling and stopped to speak to a number of acquaintances on her way to the courtroom. She was accompanied by Mrs. E. G. Reese, one of the ladies of the city, who have been with her a great deal during her confinement at the county jail. Mrs. Reese followed her to the bar and sat beside her in the box with Mrs. Reese.

The prisoner's appearance was very much after the order of the "Trip to Chinatown," a dress of black, with a large black cross, a dull velvet embellishment at her throat, and a pair of black shoes.

A Trip to Chinatown. A dress of black, with a large black cross, a dull velvet embellishment at her throat, and a pair of black shoes.

Attorneys for both sides then called their witnesses, and those not present were summoned by officers and attorneys.

The judge at once ordered the Sheriff to summon a panel of 12 men, from whom to select a jury. The first names were called, all having formed or expressed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the accused. The judge announced finally that the jury would not be excused who had formed an opinion on newspaper accounts of the shooting, and he ordered a jury of 12 men to be selected from the names called.

Jury Completed.

Quite a stir occurred on the selection of the jury. The jury was called, and the judge stated positively that he had formed an opinion from conversation with eye-witnesses and from the fact that the State made no protest when he took his seat in the jury box. As he said to Judge Hill, "I am not a juror, but I am a citizen."

The first witness called was T. E. Patterson, stage manager for the local opera house. Patterson testified that Miss Morrison had been in the box at the upstairhouse on September 21, with the other members of the "Mr. Plaster of Paris" troupe.

At this point the court announced the word for adjournment, and the hearing was postponed until tomorrow.

Attention to the Actress.

Chattanooga is deeply interested in the trial and the actress, who made the prosecution find it impossible to convict.

Society women discuss the affair very generally. They say that Miss Morrison did not make a good woman, and that she should have been turned over to the matter to her husband, Frank H. James, but even they are not so sure.

At this point the court announced the word for adjournment, and the hearing was postponed until tomorrow.

Another Attempt Failed.

Austin City Council Refused to Pay the Interest.

REPUBLIC SPECIAL.

Austin, Tex., Jan. 4.—Another attempt to get the city council to pass the ordinance for the payment of interest on the \$100,000 bonded indebtedness failed this night.

The action of the council is causing comment in the State due to the fact that many cities are afraid that this action, if successful, will injure the credit of other cities in the State.

The council's action is in a state of great agitation. Factions are rapidly forming and the outlook for a very "dry" year is not bright.

At the Mountain City Club, the most exclusive social organization in Eastern Tennessee, the approaching trial is the one topic of conversation. Many of the members have called at the jail to inquire as to the progress of the trial.

Miss Morrison, who has the leading lady's role in the trial, is the one personage, unaccompanied by cards, received by the accused actress.

The unfortunate woman is regarded here as a heroine. Her cell is fitted with all the luxuries of home and its air is kept fresh by means of fans and electric fans.

But all of this is so much veneer to the beautiful actress, who is intensely religious and a Catholic. Here she kneels each day and prays for mercy. Her attire is simple.

With her hair streaming down her back and her head in her hands, she weeps softly and utters inaudible but terribly earnest appeals to God.

CLEMENT FOUND UNCONSCIOUS

Paris, Tex., Banker Took an Overdose of Morphine.

REPUBLIC SPECIAL.

Paris, Tex., Jan. 4.—Henry A. Clement, cashier of Paris National Bank, the wealthiest stockholder in the bank, a member of one of the oldest and wealthiest families of this country, was found at his home this afternoon in an unconscious condition, caused by an overdose of morphine.

Doctors worked with him all day and say that he may recover.

Three Women Claim the Alleged Conspirator in the Carter Case.

THEY SAY HE MARRIED THEM.

He is Arraigned on the Charge of Abandonment Brought by One Claimant—The Conspiracy Case.

REPUBLIC SPECIAL.

New York, Jan. 4.—Colonel John F. Gaylor, who is on trial before United States Commissioner Shields in proceedings concerning him with Captain O'Brien M. Carter in the frame in Government work at Savannah, was arrested today on complaint of Georgia A. Starr, formerly of Savannah, on a charge of abandonment. He was arrested at the Market Police Court, but was released late in the day on \$10,000 bail for a further hearing. The complaint, which signs herself "Georgia A. Starr," claims to be the lawful wife of Colonel Gaylor, whom she has pursued relentlessly of late.

The woman had been twice ejected from the office of Commissioner Shields before appealing to the police. Gaylor admits many of the woman's charges, but denies that he married her.

Colonel Gaylor's matrimonial experiences brought him conspicuously before the public just before the Carter case assumed its present status. Until last June, it was supposed that he was a widower, his first wife having died a number of years ago.

On June 1, however, a dispatch from Washington announced the marriage of Colonel Gaylor to Miss Anna L. Pittney, a stenographer and typewriter, who, until that time, had a desk in the Sherman Hotel. The marriage took place in Rockland, Me., some months before, and a few days later the couple sailed for Europe.

On the day following the first announcement a marriage notice was published announcing that John F. Gaylor and Miss Anna L. Pittney of Fayetteville had been married at the Columbia House, New York, on September 2, 1899. When Mrs. Gaylor was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

The Third Claimant.

The woman who claimed Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Colonel Gaylor's wife, Mrs. Anna L. Pittney, was seen at her apartment, No. 31 West 42nd street, she stated that she was "Colonel Gaylor's wife," and that she intended to prosecute him for bigamy.

Counsel for Both Prosecution and Defense Compelled to Drop "Horse Play."

COURT'S DIGNITY RUFFLED.

Writing of Molineux on Poison Package Identified by G. R. Sayres—Other Damaging Testimony.

REPUBLIC SPECIAL.

New York, Jan. 4.—Recorder Goff today, during the progress of the Molineux murder trial, severely rebuked Assistant District Attorney Osborne and Mr. Weeks, counsel for Roland P. Molineux, for what he termed "unusually horse-play." Captain McElusky, Chief of the Detective Bureau at Police Headquarters, had been telling of his first efforts to obtain samples of Molineux's handwriting, and incidentally the day on which he traced to David N. Carvalho, the handwriting expert, had been for fifteen years employed by the Police Department of this city. Just now Mr. Carvalho is employed by General Molineux to aid the defense of his son, Roland. Captain McElusky's statement was relayed to the prosecution by the Recorder of Deeds, and the Assistant District Attorney, in attempting to neutralize the effect of McElusky's testimony, became involved in a semimilitary controversy with Recorder Goff. At this point Recorder Goff interrupted the counsel for the defense, and said: "You should be ashamed of yourselves. Stop this horse-play at once and proceed with the case."

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

Interest did not lag for a moment today in the trial. Three of the witnesses called by the defense were Roland Molineux, Henry O. Barnett, who died at the Knickerbocker Athletic Club in the fall of 1898, and a bookkeeper, who had been in the employ of the Knickerbocker Athletic Club.

Mr. Weeks objected to the Recorder's language, whereupon Mr. Goff, turning to the official stenographer, said:

"Miss the stenographer credit for all the objections he wants. Let him have plenty of rope."

Mr. Weeks flushed, but subsided, and the case proceeded.

Marriage Certificate in Evidence.

L. O. Whitnel Delivers a Lengthy Address in the Lauder Riot Case.

BRUSH A CENTER OF ATTACK.

Five Speeches Were Made Yesterday—Night Sessions Till Argument Is Completed—Evidence Reviewed.

REPUBLIC SPECIAL.

Vermont, Ill., Jan. 4.—Three attorneys for the defense and two for the prosecution occupied the attention of the court and jury today in the Lauder riot trial. L. O. Whitnel, a partner of State Attorney Gillespie of this county, delivered the first address of the day. Mr. Brush and Mr. Carney were the centers of attack on the part of the defense, the former on account of his determined opposition to the Molineux trial, and his having armed the men employed in his mines, and the latter because of his alleged methods in securing evidence against the nine prisoners under the charge of murder.

Mr. Whitnel pointed out to the jury the statements which were made by the State at the opening, and said that they had never been proven. He told of the conditions in and around the Brush mines prior to the riot, and explained at some length the cause, from the defense's standpoint, of the rioting and the killing of the two men.

He explained to the jury the conference which was held with Governor Tanner and the Molineux Executive Committee, and that if the law then pending in the Senate was passed without an emergency clause he would strive to see that its provisions were enforced.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

Motive for the Crime.

He then turned to the evidence which was presented by the State, and said that he believed that the Governor to interfere in the handling of the negroes at Carterville.

TEN YEARS' EXILE FOR FREEMEN.

Sentence Pronounced on Buffet, Deroulade and the Marquis de Lur Saluces.

GUERIN TO BE IMPRISONED.

Prisoners Addressed Court, but Would Not Utter a Word of Repentance or Appeal for Indulgence.

Paris, Jan. 4.—When the High Court opened its public session today the president of that body, M. Fallières, read the decision which had been reached yesterday. The prisoners who were acquitted, though not free, were